	Case 2:03-cv-00348-JAM-DAD Document 13	3 Filed 05/10/06 Page 1 of 3		
1 2	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART, SBN 83057 Lead Supervising Deputy Attorney General JEFFREY M. PHILLIPS, SBN 154990 Deputy Attorney General Office of the Attorney General			
3				
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 Post Office Box 944255			
6	Sacramento, California 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-1989			
7	Attorneys for Defendants			
8				
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	MICHAEL L. POTTS, D.D.S., and THE	CIV.S-03-0348 DFL DAD		
13	AMERICAN ACADEMY OF IMPLANT DENTISTRY,			
14	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION TO CORRECT THE		
15	v.	RECORD ON APPEAL; ORDER		
16	KATHLEEN HAMILTON, in her Official			
17	Capacity as Director, California Department of Consumer Affairs; CYNTHIA GATLIN,			
18	Executive Officer, California Dental Board; and ALAN H. KAYE, D.D.S., President;			
19	MICHAEL PINKERTON, Vice-President, Public Member; LA DONNA DRURY-			
20	KLEIN, R.D.A., Secretary; DAVID I. BARON, Public Member; NEWTON			
21	GORDON, D.D.S., Member; LAWRENCE HYNDLEY, D.D.S., Member; PATRICIA			
22	OSUNA, R.D.H., Member; GEORGE SOOHOO, D.D.S., Member; ARIANE			
23	TERLET, D.D.S., Member; and CHESTER YOKOHAMA, D.D.S., Member, in their			
24	Official Capacities with the California Dental Board,			
25	Defendants.			
26	DEFENDANTS apparent the fellow	ing stipulation to correct the Record by adding		
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	the following deposition testimony that was errore			
20	the following deposition testimony that was effolion	Lously offitted from the Record.		
	STIPULATION TO CORRECT	CIV.S-03-0348 DFL DAD		

THE RECORD ON APPEAL

## Case 2:03-cv-00348-JAM-DAD Document 133 Filed 05/10/06 Page 2 of 3

1	Omitted page/ Pinpoint cite	Citation in Record	Plaintiff's Response
2	1. Potts Dep., p. 45-46	Defendants' Separate Statement of Undisputed	"Admitted, but irrelevant" (Pls. Response to Defs.
3	(Potts Dep. 45:25-46:2)	Material Facts ("SSUMF"), No. 2	SSUMF)
4	2. Potts Dep., p. 97	Defendants' SSUMF No. 7.	"Admitted, but irrelevant.
5 6	(Potts Dep. 97:4-13)		Potts could not remember" (Pls. Response to Defs. SSUMF)
7	3. Shuck Dep., p. 10	Defendants' SSUMF No. 14.	"Admitted [but wrong cite]"
8	(Shuck Dep. 10:3-19)		(Pls. Response to Defs. SSUMF.)
9	4 Chual Dan n 10 11	Defendants' COLIME No. 15	"Doutially Admitted " (Dla
10	4. Shuck Dep., p. 10-11.	Defendants' SSUMF No. 15.	"Partially Admitted" (Pls. Response to Defs. SSUMF)
11	(Shuck Dep. 10:20- 11:20)		
12	5. Shuck Dep., p. 55	Defendants' SSUMF No. 17;	Partially Denied. Plaintiffs
13	(Shuck Dep. 54:8-55:23)	Defendants' MSJ at 13:10-11	note that AAID's verification process is more then is done
14	(Shuck Dep. 54.6-55.25)		by the Dental Board to verify CE (Pls. Response to
15			Defs. SSUMF.)
16	6. Shuck Dep., p. 66	Defendants' SSUMF No. 18;	"Admitted." (Pls. Response
17	(Shuck Dep. 66:2-7)	Defendants' MSJ at 4:7	to Defs. SSUMF.)
18	(81341 247 8012 7)		
19	7. Shuck Dep., p. 195	Defendants' SSUMF No. 29	"Partially Denied" (Pls. Response to Defs.
20	(Shuck Dep. 195:14-19)		SSUMF.)
21	8. Stokes Dep., p.56 attached	Defendants' Opposition to	Reason Supplemental Declaration of
22	as Ex. 1 to Supplemental Decl. of Fay	Plaintiffs' MSJ at 14:7 and Defendants' Reply to	Fay was filed with the District Court on 2/27/04 but
23	(Stokes Dep. 56:5-13, 21-	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Cross-MSJ at	this document does not appear in the lower court's
24	25)	9:11.	docket.
25	9. Cogan Dep., p. 28-29	Defendants' Reply to	Supplemental Declaration of
26	attached as Ex. 4 to Supplemental Decl. of Fay	Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Cross-MSJ at	Fay was filed with the District Court on 2/27/04 but
27	(Cogan dep. at 28:24-29:12)	9:24	this document does not appear in the lower court's
28		2	docket.
		2	

## Case 2:03-cv-00348-JAM-DAD Document 133 Filed 05/10/06 Page 3 of 3

1	Defendants request and Plaintiffs do not oppose correction of the record of this			
2	action to include the deposition testimony cited in the foregoing references and attached hereto.			
3	Specifically, the record should include the Deposition Testimony of Plaintiff, Michael Potts,			
4	D.D.S., taken on October 22, 2003, at pages 45-46, 97 (attached as Ex. A), the Deposition			
5	Testimony of James Vincent Shuck taken on November 13, 2003, at pages 10-11, 55, 66 and 195			
6	(also attached as Ex. A), the Deposition Testimony of Lynne Stokes, taken on November 24,			
7	2003, at page 56 (attached to Suppl Decl. of Fay as Ex. B), and the Deposition Testimony of			
8	Sandra R. Cogan, Ph.D., taken on November 19, 2003, at pages 28-29 (attached to Suppl Decl.			
9	of Fay as Ex. B), and that the Record should include the pinpoint citations, referenced above.			
10	Dated:	BILL LOCKYER		
11		Attorney General of the State of California ARTHUR D. TAGGART		
12		Lead Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
13				
14	By:	JEFFREY M. PHILLIPS		
15		Deputy Attorney General		
16		Attorneys for Defendants		
17				
18	Dated:	FRANK R. RECKER		
19	Dutou	FRANK R. RECKER & ASSOCIATES CO., L.P.A. and		
20		McDONOUGH, HOLLAND & ALLEN		
21		A Professional Corporation		
22	By:			
23	Dy.	ANN TAYLOR SCHWING Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
24				
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
26	05/09/2006			
27		/s/ David F. Levi JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT		
28				
		2		

STIPULATION TO CORRECT THE RECORD ON APPEAL

CIV.S-03-0348 DFL DAD